Mountain Area Workforce Development Board PY2019/20 RFP – NCWorks Career Center Operations Evaluation Criteria and Selection of Service Providers

	Total Points Awarded By Review		wer:	(Maximum	100 points)
	Re	commended for Funding:	_Yes	No	Yes with Changes
	Re	viewer Signature:			Date:
	Name	of the Proposing Agency:			
		of the Program/Project:			
		e Area Proposed:		_	
		lanned Number of Enrollmer			
		ult - Planned Number of Enro		Pct_of Total	
		located Worker - Planned Nu			
		unds Requested:			
		ult Funds requested?		nt of Total:	
		located Worker Funds Reque	 '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total:
Α.	EVALU	ATION CRITERIA The criteria	which	will be used to eva	luate proposals are listed
		along with their point values			
		to be considered for funding			
	•	_			
	<u>EQUAL</u>	OPPORTUNITY NONDISCRIE	MINATIO	ON COMPLIANCE a	nd ACCESS FOR PERSONS
	WITH I	DISABILITIES: - non-compliar	nce disq	ualifies the proposa	al – (Enter Yes or No)
		The Offeror has designated a	an EO Of	ficer and the Offero	r assures compliance with
		WIOA Section 188 and 29 Cl	FR Part 3	37 including notifica	ation to applicants, clients
		and employees of their rig			
		process by which grievances		="	
		Offeror. The Offeror has es			•
		parties of the complete alter	rnative o	lispute resolution a	nd appeals processes.
		The Officer of the Control of the Co	. 11. 1111		
		The Offeror assures access	-	· -	
		auxiliary aids and services disabilities. The Offeror assu		•	
		Act and succeeding laws ar		•	
		protecting the rights of, pers	_	•	noviding services to, and
		protecting the rights of, pers	SUIIS WILI	ו עוזמטווונוכז.	

A. Probable Program Effectiveness and Efficiency

 Does the proposing organization offer a facility (or have an agreement in place to co-locate in a facility) that can accommodate a NCWorks Career Center operations and provide suitable space for partner organizations to

	provided as in-kind contributions? Are other operating costs reasonable and necessary? Maximum - 10 points COMMENTS:
2.	Are the descriptions of Customer Service Standards sufficient to provide assurances that quality customer service is a priority for the proposed program operator? Are standards for protecting personally identifiable information sufficiently to create confidence in data security? Maximum - 5 points COMMENTS
3.	Is the program design for outreach/recruitment; reception; eligibility determination; initial assessment; orientation to career center services and support service available in the community sufficient to create confidence that services will be well organized and effective? Maximum - 5 points COMMENTS
4.	Does the proposal demonstrate an effective plan for encouraging clients to participate in, and understand the importance of job readiness skills (interviewing, resumes, online job applications, etc.) and effective workplace behaviors? Maximum - 5 points COMMENTS:
5.	Does the narrative describe a well thought out system of career services that will assist clients with job search? Does the description of basic career counseling services involving the provision of information about the local labor market, occupations and sectors "in-demand", wages and skill training requirements, appear to be effective and customer friendly? Maximum - 10 points COMMENTS

6.	Does the proposal offer a solid plan for providing in-depth career planning, counseling, and skills training assistance to clients who are interested in pursuing career changes and/or developing well designed individual Employment Plans? Do planned assessment, counseling activities and training services appear to be comprehensive enough to help the unskilled or under-skilled job seekers achieve the academic and occupational skills credentials they need gain and retain employment in their chosen career field? Maximum - 10 points COMMENTS:
7.	Does the proposal offer assurance that the proposing organization knows how to secure support services (childcare, shelter, transportation, nutrition, clothing, etc.) from other resources in the community? Are there funds requested in the budget to provide for some of these services, and are the budgeted amounts reasonable? Maximum – 5 points COMMENTS:
8.	Does the proposal demonstrate a clear awareness of the procedure and guidelines of providing training services to clients, including the process for analyzing the clients financial needs and helping the client access appropriate financial aid resources? Maximum - 5 points COMMENTS:
9.	Does the proposal offer an encouraging plan for involving employers with the NCWorks System by listing jobs with, and seeking recruitment, screening and referral services from the NCWorks System. Maximum - 10 points COMMENTS:

10.	Does the proposal offer a convincing plan for conducting achieving performance standards and providing follow-up services to participants for 12 months after exit? Maximum - 5 points COMMENTS:
11.	Does staffing for the provision of program services seems appropriate for the volume of clients and the complexity of the services provided (i.e., caseloads per staff are neither unreasonably high nor low)? Maximum - 5 points COMMENTS:
12.	Are budgeted funds for operating costs within range in comparison with other proposals? Are staffing costs reasonable? Are funds included for staff professional development/training? Are staff travel costs for work related local travel reasonable? Does the organization have a record of financial integrity and stability? Maximum - 5 points COMMENTS:
	Does the proposing organization have a solid record of performance in providing services to adults and dislocated workers? Does the organization have the structural capabilities of sustaining the effort needed to successfully operate the program as proposed? Does the organization have the supervisory structure to properly manage and support program staff? Maximum - 10 points COMMENTS
	Are In-kind contributions to the operation of the program meaningful to the success of the program and do they indicate an organizational commitment to the program's success? Maximum - 10 points COMMENTS: